

Nonlawyer Assistance and Representation in Agency Adjudications

Commented [AC1]: Proposed Council Amendment recommended by the Committee.

Committee on Adjudication

Draft Recommendation for Committee | October 30, 2024

Millions of people each year participate in administrative adjudicative proceedings to access federal programs and resolve legal issues. Some adjudicative proceedings are simple enough—or could be made simple enough—for people to navigate on their own. But many are so complex, or involve such significant stakes, that people engaging with them benefit from representation by individuals with expertise in those programs or assistance.

1 2

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Representation in adjudicative proceedings, whether by lawyers or nonlawyers, and assistance are particularly valuable, even in seemingly straightforward adjudicatory proceedings, when they help people access relevant and accurate information about agency programs, program eligibility, and information on how to correctly complete forms and submit required information. For example, while digital technologies, such as online forms and virtual hearings, are effective strategies for increasing accessibility, they can also act as a barrier for people who lack access to digital tools or lack the skills to navigate these systems. Although these challenges can be present for anyone, those lacking assistance may become so overwhelmed that they give up and forego rights and benefits to which they are entitled. In other instances, errors can be exacerbated by a lack of representation and lead to unfair outcomes.

Representation and assistance not only help participants in adjudicatory proceedings but also benefit agencies. Without representation or assistance, an individual may be less likely to properly and timely complete adjudicative requirements, which can delay proceedings.

¹ WHITE HOUSE LEGAL AID INTERAGENCY ROUNDTABLE, ACCESS TO JUSTICE IN FEDERAL ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS: NONLAWYER ASSISTANCE AND OTHER STRATEGIES 1 (2023) [hereinafter WH-LAIR REPORT].

² Pamela Herd, Donald Moynihan, & Amy Widman, Identifying and Reducing Burdens in Administrative Processes 41 (Dec. 5, 2023) (report to the Admin. Conf. of the U.S.).



ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

Additionally, those without representation or assistance may require more support from the agency, which can strain resources and reduce efficiency.³

Many people, however, particularly low-income people and members of historically underserved communities, are unable to access representation or assistance.⁴ One barrier to accessing representation or assistance is the critical shortage of affordable legal services. This concern is particularly acute in remote and rural areas, where not only are lawyers relatively scarce, but they may not be accessible to people who need them due to the long distances.

Federal agencies have long innovated various ways to widen the pool of available representatives and expand assistance. For example, many agencies currently permit participants in agency adjudications to be represented by qualified or accredited nonlawyers.⁵ In many instances, the decision maker (whether or not an administrative law judge) makes an informal determination whether a representative is "qualified," but some adjudicative systems provide for a formal accreditation system to determine which nonlawyer representatives are qualified to practice in those systems.

Examples of nonlawyers who represent or assist parties in agency proceedings include other licensed professionals such as accountants, social workers, and paralegals; law students; union representatives; human resources professionals; corporate officers; tribal advocates; agency employees; community members; and family members. In administrative adjudication,

³ Admin. Conf. of the U.S., Recommendation 2016-6, *Self-Represented Parties in Administrative Proceedings*, 81 Fed. Reg. 94319 (Dec. 23, 2016); *see also*, Admin. Conf. of the U.S., Recommendation 86-1, *Nonlawyer Assistance and Representation*, 51 Fed. Reg. 25641 (July 16, 1986); WH-LAIR REPORT, *supra* note 1, at 19 ("Studies have shown that legal assistance improves legal outcomes.").

⁴ See Amy Widman, Nonlawyer Assistance and Representation (Oct. 2, 2024) (draft report to the Admin. Conf. of the U.S.).

⁵ See 5 Ú.S.C. § 555(b) ("A person compelled to appear in person before an agency ... is entitled to be accompanied, represented, and advised by counsel or, if permitted by the agency, by other qualified representative."). ACUS recognizes that there is an ongoing discussion about the best way to describe representatives who do not hold an active law license. For the purposes of this Recommendation, ACUS refers to this group as nonlawyer representatives because it is consistent with two prior ACUS recommendations and Model Rules of Representative Conduct, and the 2023 White House Legal Aid Interagency Roundtable. The decision to use the term nonlawyer is not meant to suggest any deficiencies in representation offered by such individuals, nor should it deter any individual agency from adopting a different term. ACUS encourages agencies to remain attentive to the ongoing discussion within the legal community about terminology in this area and to consider updating their usage accordingly.



ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

the border between representation and assistance can be blurry, even for the agency and its decision makers. "Representation" is used particularly to denote that the individual is "standing in the shoes" of the participant and can speak for that individual even when that person is not present. Other activities that likely indicate representation include counseling on specific applicability or signing official records. "Assistance" is broader, and used to indicate many other forms of help that may be beneficial to a person in dealing with an agency; this may include educating someone on process, counseling someone about rights and remedies generally, and, in some cases, helping someone navigate a form or benefits application.

Increasing availability of nonlawyer representation and assistance can be particularly beneficial in meeting the needs of communities of special populations, including veterans and servicemembers, members of tribal communities, people with disabilities, people with criminal records, immigrants, and disaster survivors. A member of one of these communities often benefits from representation and assistance provided by nongovernmental organizations, advocacy groups, and other members of the private sector already operating to meet the needs and face the challenges within those communities. These community ties function not only as a source of trust but also a deep source of knowledge and expertise that can bear on representation and assistance. That trust can in turn encourage trust in public institutions and adjudicative proceedings more generally. Agencies can engage with such groups to help increase availability and awareness of nonlawyer representation and assistance in these communities.

There are barriers to increasing availability of nonlawyer representation and other assistance, including barriers that agencies may be able to address through their rules regarding representation and assistance. Agencies vary in their requirements, oversight, and encouragement of such representation. Overly burdensome requirements for representatives to establish their qualifications or to become accredited to practice before particular agencies can reduce the availability of representatives. When agencies do not affirmatively inform participants of the

⁶ Admin. Conf. of the U.S., Recommendation 86-1, *Nonlawyer Assistance and Representation*, 51 Fed. Reg. 25641 (July 16, 1986) (FOOTNOTE 2).

⁷ See WH-LAIR REPORT, supra note 1, at vii.



ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

availability of such representation or assistance, participants may not be aware of these resources.

In 1986, ACUS recommended that agencies permit and encourage nonlawyer representation and assistance. More recent recommendations encourage agencies to allow participants in many adjudications "to be represented by a lawyer or a lay person with relevant expertise" and establish "rules authorizing accredited or qualified nonlawyer representatives to practice before the agency." This Recommendation expands on the Conference's previous recommendations by identifying best practices for incorporating and increasing representation and assistance by permitting broader practice by nonlawyers in different types of adjudicative systems and providing guidance to make processes governing nonlawyer representation and assistance more accessible and transparent.

RECOMMENDATION

Availability of Nonlawyer Assistance

- Agencies should permit nonlawyers, including friends, family members, or other
 individuals, to assist participants throughout the adjudicative process. For example,
 agencies should freely allow nonlawyers to help participants navigate and complete
 forms, obtain necessary documents and records, and accompany participants to
 interviews and hearings for moral support, unless there is reason to exclude such an
 individual from a hearing (e.g., causing disruption or adversely impacting testimony).
- 2. Agencies should encourage and expand opportunities for nonlawyer assistance through programs that authorize, train, educate, and certify individuals to provide participants

⁸ Admin. Conf. of the U.S., Recommendation 86-1, Nonlawyer Assistance and Representation, 51 Fed. Reg. 25641 (July 16, 1986).

⁹ Admin. Conf. of the U.S., Recommendation 2023-5, Best Practices for Adjudication Not Involving an Evidentiary Hearing, 89 Fed. Reg. 1509 (Jan. 10, 2024).

¹⁰ Admin. Conf. of the U.S., Recommendation 2023-6, *Identifying and Reducing Burdens on the Public in Administrative Proceedings*, 89 Fed. Reg. 1511 (Jan. 10, 2024). In 2024, ACUS published Model Rules of Representative Conduct.



ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

with information, support, and dedicated assistance, either by staffing and operating such programs directly or providing guidance and grant funding to nonprofit organizations.

Availability of Nonlawyer Representation

3. To increase the availability of representation for participants in their adjudications, agencies should establish rules authorizing qualified (see paragraphs 4-5) and, as appropriate, accredited (see paragraphs 6-9) nonlawyer representatives to practice before them.

Qualifications of Nonlawyer Representatives

- 4. Agencies should establish reasonable qualifications required for nonlawyer representatives to practice before them. Agencies should consider the factors listed in the Model Rules of Representative Conduct, such as the representative's relationship to the participant; their knowledge, expertise, or skill; and their fitness to serve, when determining whether a nonlawyer is qualified to represent a participant in an agency proceeding.
- 5. Agencies should clarify that an individual who is disbarred from practicing law is not qualified to serve as a nonlawyer representative before the agency.

Accreditation of Nonlawyer Representatives

- 6. In addition to establishing qualifications for nonlawyer representatives, the following types of agencies should consider developing and implementing formal accreditation programs for nonlawyer representatives to help ensure the quality and competency of representation in their adjudicative proceedings:
 - a. Agencies conducting adversarial adjudications with evidentiary hearings;
 - b. Agencies that adjudicate a high volume of cases involving historically underserved communities; and
 - c. Agencies with adjudications that involve specialized or technical subject matter.



105

106

107

108

109

110

111112

113114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

- 7. Agencies with accreditation programs should consider requiring initial training and continuing education for nonlawyer representatives, either by providing such training or continuing education directly or by working with organizations that employ, train, educate, or mentor nonlawyer representatives.
- 8. Agencies should regularly review the requirements of their accreditation programs to ensure they are adequate without adding additional, unnecessary burdens.
- Agencies should dedicate adequate funding and other resources to their programs for accrediting, educating, and regulating nonlawyer representatives who practice before them, to ensure availability of representation and reduce wait times for accreditation.

Oversight and Enforcement

- 10. Agencies should establish rules to govern the conduct and ethical obligations of nonlawyer representatives. Agencies should consider adopting in whole or in part the Model Rules of Representative Conduct.
- 11. Agencies should establish procedures for reviewing allegations or evidence of noncompliance by nonlawyer representatives with their rules of conduct; adjudicating alleged violations by nonlawyer representatives; and imposing sanctions on nonlawyer representatives found to have violated the rules of conduct. Agencies should also ensure they have procedures for enforcing such sanctions.
- 12. Agencies should provide for administrative review of any sanctions imposed on nonlawyer representatives for violation of relevant conduct rules.

Transparency

- 13. To improve participants' awareness of options for representation, including by qualified or accredited nonlawyers, agencies should inform participants about such options early and throughout adjudications, including at levels of decision-making prior to an opportunity for a hearing.
- 127 14. Agencies should publish the following on their websites and in the *Code of Federal*128 *Regulations*:



ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

- 129 Rules prescribing the qualifications required for nonlawyer representatives; 130 b. Rules for accrediting, educating, and regulating nonlawyer representatives, for 131 agencies with formal accreditation programs; and 132 c. Rules governing the conduct and ethical obligations of nonlawyer representatives, 133 as well as procedures for adjudicating alleged violations of these rules and 134 imposing sanctions. 15. Agencies should publish on their websites the names of nonlawyer representatives who 135 have been sanctioned. 136 **Coordination and Collaboration** 137 16. Agencies with overlapping subject matters, similar adjudication systems, or similar 138 regulatory structures for nonlawyer representation should identify opportunities for 139 interagency coordination of accreditation or education programs for nonlawyer 140 representatives, to save resources and promote consistency. 141 17. When authorized by law, agencies should expand grant funding opportunities for 142 nonprofit organizations that employ, educate, or mentor nonlawyer representatives. 143 18. Agencies should work with law and other professional school clinics to expand programs 144 allowing students to serve as nonlawyer representatives under the supervision of lawyers
 - 20. Agencies should collaborate with state bar associations and other relevant licensing

19. Agencies should engage with community-based organizations, nongovernmental

authorities to reduce the effect that state prohibitions against unauthorized practice of law

organizations, advocacy groups, and other organizations that can assist in building trust

among participants and improve nonlawyer representation by bringing knowledge of and

may have on the ability of nonlawyers to represent parties before them.

expertise in issues facing those communities.

or other accredited professionals.

Data

145

146

147

148

149

150

151



ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

21.	Agencies should gather and maintain baseline comparative data on representation,
	including by nonlawyers, to (1) help agencies and others assess whether rules and
	procedures regarding nonlawyer representation and assistance are achieving agency goals
	in making such representation available and accessible; and (2) identify opportunities for
	expanding access to representation. Such data should include, at a minimum, the type and
	number of nonlawyer representatives; the outcomes, in aggregate, of cases in which
	parties are represented by nonlawyers; the number of pending applications for
	accreditation; and average wait time for applications to be reviewed. Such data should be
	made publicly available and regularly undated.