DRAFT

# STATEMENT OF PRINCIPLES FOR AGENCY GUIDANCE DOCUMENTS

Office of the Chair Administrative Conference of the United States

This Statement was prepared by the Office of the Chair of the Administrative Conference of the United States (ACUS) based on recommendations adopted by the ACUS Assembly. The Statement was not adopted by the ACUS Assembly and does not necessarily reflect the views of ACUS (including its Council, committees, or members).

## **Statement of Principles for Agency Guidance Documents**

INITIAL OFFICE OF THE CHAIR DRAFT FOR REVIEW BY THE ASSEMBLY AT THE 82ND PLENARY SESSION

#### December 12, 2024

1	Consistent with the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), federal agencies issue rules to
2	implement, interpret, and prescribe laws and policies that they administer and to describe their
3	organization, procedure, and practice requirements. <sup>1</sup> This Statement of Principles focuses on two
4	types of rules: general statements of policy (hereinafter, policy statements) and interpretive
5	rules. <sup>2</sup> Policy statements "advise the public prospectively of the manner in which the agency
6	proposes to exercise a discretionary power." <sup>3</sup> Interpretive rules "advise the public of the agency's
7	construction of the statutes and rules which it administers." <sup>4</sup> Together, policy statements and
8	interpretive rules are called "guidance documents." Agencies issue guidance documents to help
9	explain their programs and policies and to communicate other important information to the
10	public.
11	Guidance documents can take many forms, including documents issued to the general
12	public as well as documents provided in response to requests for advice from individuals
13	(referred to here as "individualized guidance"). <sup>5</sup> Guidance documents also go by a variety of
14	names, including guidelines, manuals, rulings, opinion letters, circulars, and advisories.
15	Guidance documents are notable for the process by which they are adopted and their legal
16	effect. Before an agency adopts a rule, the APA generally requires the agency to publish a notice
1 –	

17 of proposed rulemaking and give interested persons the opportunity to participate in the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See 5 U.S.C. § 551(4) (defining the term "rule" to include "an agency statement of general or particular applicability and future effect designed to implement, interpret, or prescribe law or policy or describing the organization, procedure, or practice requirements of an agency").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 5 U.S.C. § 553(b)(A).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Admin. Conf. of the U.S., Recommendation 2017-5, *Agency Guidance Through Policy Statements*, 82 Fed. Reg. 61,734 (Dec. 29, 2017) (quoting ATTORNEY GENERAL'S MANUAL ON THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT 30 n.3 (1947)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Admin. Conf. of the U.S., Recommendation 2019-1, *Agency Guidance Through Interpretive Rules*, 84 Fed. Reg. 38,927 (Aug. 8, 2019) (quoting ATTORNEY GENERAL'S MANUAL, *supra* note 3, at 30 n.3)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Admin. Conf. of the U.S., Recommendation 2024-2, *Individualized Guidance*, 89 Fed. Reg. 56,277 (July 9, 2024). Recommendation 2024-2 did not seek to characterize all processes for issuing individualized guidance as being either "rulemaking" or "adjudication" under the APA.

rulemaking.<sup>6</sup> The APA does not require these notice-and-comment procedures for guidance
 documents, however.<sup>7</sup>

Unlike rules adopted through the notice-and-comment process, guidance documents lack the "force and effect of law[.]"<sup>8</sup> As a result, guidance documents are not binding on the public, meaning that they do not create standards with which noncompliance may form an independent basis for action in matters that determine the rights and obligations of any member of the public.<sup>9</sup>

Guidance documents can be important instruments of administration and of great value to 24 25 agencies and the public. Guidance documents can make agency decision making faster and less 26 costly, saving time and resources for agencies and the regulated public. Guidance documents can 27 also make agency decision making more predictable and uniform and shield regulated parties 28 from unequal treatment, unnecessary costs, and unnecessary risks, while promoting compliance 29 with the law. Given the importance and value of guidance documents, the law requires agencies 30 to make certain guidance documents available to the public in the Federal Register or on agency websites.<sup>10</sup> 31

Since its establishment, the Administrative Conference of the United States (ACUS) has adopted numerous recommendations, listed in the Appendix, to assist federal agencies in the use of guidance documents. These recommendations address best practices for agency guidance in general, such as providing for public participation in the development of guidance documents, making guidance documents publicly available, and ensuring that guidance documents do not bind the public in practice. ACUS recommendations also provide best practices for specific types

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> 5 U.S.C. § 553(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> *Id.* § 553(b)(A).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Perez v. Mortg. Bankers Ass'n, 575 U.S. 92, 97 (2015) (quoting Shalala v. Guernsey Memorial Hospital, 514 U.S. 87, 99 (1995)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See Recommendation 2019-1, supra note 4, at ¶ 1; Recommendation 2017-5, supra note 3, at ¶ 1.

Recommendation 2019-1 notes that the concept of "binding" effect can give rise to misunderstanding in some contexts, such as when guidance documents use mandatory language to describe an existing statutory or regulatory requirement or when agencies direct employees to follow guidance documents as an internal management matter. <sup>10</sup> See Admin. Conf. of the U.S., Recommendation 2019-3, *Public Availability of Agency Guidance Documents*, 84 Fed. Reg. 38,931 (Aug. 8, 2019) (explaining that agencies must make certain guidance documents available to the public under the Federal Records Act, the Freedom of Information Act, and other agency-specific statutes).

- 38 of agency guidance documents, such as automated legal guidance, regulatory enforcement
- 39 manuals, and individualized guidance.<sup>11</sup>
- 40 This Statement of Principles sets forth common best practices derived from ACUS
- 41 recommendations on agency guidance documents. The ACUS Office of the Chair will update
- 42 this statement from time to time as ACUS adopts new recommendations on this topic.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> This Statement of Principles does not cover declaratory orders, which agencies may issue in an adjudication to "terminate a controversy or remove uncertainty." 5 U.S.C. 554(e). Unlike agency guidance documents, declaratory orders are legally binding final agency actions. *See* Admin. Conf. of the U.S., Recommendation 2015-3, *Declaratory Orders*, 80 Fed. Reg. 78,161 (Dec. 16, 2015).

### **STATEMENT OF PRINCIPLES**

### **Ensuring That Agency Guidance Does Not Bind the Public**

43	1.	Agencies should not use a guidance document to create a standard that is binding on the
44		public, that is, a standard with which noncompliance may form an independent basis for
45		action in matters that determine the rights and obligations of any member of the public. <sup>1</sup>
46	2.	Agencies should afford members of the public a fair opportunity to argue for the
47		modification, waiver, or rescission of a guidance document. If the guidance document is
48		amenable to alternative approaches or analyses, this opportunity should include the
49		chance to argue for lawful approaches other than those put forward by the document. <sup>2</sup>
50	3.	Agencies may, as an internal management matter, direct some employees to act in
51		conformity with a guidance document so long as they afford the public a fair opportunity
52		to argue for its modification, waiver, or recission (see Principle 2). However, agencies
53		should instruct employees to refrain from making any statements suggesting that a
54		guidance document is binding on the public and should instruct employees as to the
55		difference between an internal agency management requirement and a statute or
56		regulation that is binding on the public. <sup>3</sup>
57	4.	A guidance document should state prominently that it is non-binding or that it reflects the
58		agency's current interpretation of the law and that a member of the public may take—or
59		request that the agency take—a lawful alternative approach to the one set forth in the
60		document. <sup>4</sup>
61	5.	A guidance document should not include mandatory language unless the language
62		describes an existing statutory or regulatory requirement or the language is addressed to
63		agency employees consistent with Principle 3.5
64	6.	In determining whether to modify, rescind, or waive a guidance document, agencies
65		should give due regard to any reasonable reliance interests. A guidance document should
66		indicate the nature of the reliance that may be placed on it and the opportunities for
67		modification, rescission, or waiver of it. <sup>6</sup>

4

### **Developing Agency Guidance**

**DRAFT December 3, 2024** 

93	participation before adopting or modifying guidance documents, they should consider
94	offering such opportunities after doing so. <sup>14</sup>
95	15. Agencies should consider different options for the public to participate before or after the
96	adoption or modification of guidance documents, including:
97	a. Invitations for written input from the public, with or without a response from the
98	agency (including requests for information published in the Federal Register);
99	b. Targeted outreach to affected persons;
100	c. Meetings with affected persons and other potentially interested persons, including
101	listening sessions and webinars; and
102	d. Advisory committee proceedings. <sup>15</sup>
103	16. When deciding whether and how to solicit public participation before the adoption or
104	modification of guidance documents (generally, or in the context of specific guidance
105	documents), agencies should consider:
106	a. Existing agency guidance procedures for soliciting public input, including those
107	adopted in response to the Office of Management and Budget's Final Bulletin for
108	Agency Good Guidance Practices (2007);
109	b. The likely increase in useful information available to agencies from broadening
110	public participation;
111	c. The likely increase in policy acceptance from broadening public participation;
112	d. Whether agencies are likely to learn more useful information by putting forward a
113	specific agency proposal or instead having a more free-ranging and less formal
114	discussion; and
115	e. The practicability of broader forms of public participation, taking into account the
116	time and resource constraints on agencies. <sup>16</sup>
	Maling Assess California Dablisha Asseilable
	Making Agency Guidance Publicly Available
117	17. Agencies should maintain webpages dedicated to informing the public about the
118	availability of their guidance documents and facilitating access to those documents.
119	These webpages should include:

a. Agencies' written guidance document management procedures, as described inPrinciple 10;

122	b. Plain-language explanations about guidance documents and their legal effects;
123	c. A method for users to find relevant guidance documents, such as a comprehensive
124	list of such documents, links to pages where they are located, or a dedicated
125	search engine; and
126	d. A method for the public to provide feedback on problems accessing agencies'
127	guidance documents and on other issues related to the availability of those
128	documents. <sup>17</sup>
129	18. Agencies should maintain dedicated webpages providing the public with a
130	comprehensive set of their guidance documents. The webpages should:
131	a. Include, at a minimum, all guidance documents required by law to be published in
132	the Federal Register or otherwise to be made publicly available;
133	b. Make guidance documents available in a downloadable form; and
134	c. Include relevant information for each guidance document, such as its title, any
135	legal authorities related to the document, the date of issuance, and any assigned
136	identifying number. <sup>18</sup>
137	19. Agencies should publish current guidance documents on their websites and, to the extent
138	feasible, clearly mark such documents as current and identify their effective dates. If
139	agencies rescind guidance documents, they should identify the recission dates and direct
140	the public to any successor guidance documents. <sup>19</sup>
141	20. Agencies should consider maintaining inoperative guidance documents on their websites
142	and, if they do so, should:
143	a. Organize the documents to make it easy for members of the public to find them
144	and relate them to any successor guidance documents; and
145	b. Label the documents to ensure that the public can readily understand that they are
146	no longer in effect. <sup>20</sup>
147	21. Agencies should alert potentially interested persons to new and revised guidance
148	documents using methods such as email distribution lists, social media posts, speaking
149	opportunities at public meetings, press releases, and notifications in the Federal
150	<i>Register</i> . <sup>21</sup>

151 22. Agencies should consider providing descriptive references (such as links, if possible) to
 152 relevant guidance documents in appropriate sections of the *Code of Federal Regulations*,
 153 stating where the public can access the documents.<sup>22</sup>

#### Using Agency Guidance in the Rulemaking Process

- Agencies should provide guidance about the meaning and application of their final rules
  in the preambles to those final rules. Agencies should address how a final rule advances
  statutory objectives and should consider including—particularly for lengthy rules—a
  section-by-section analysis that corresponds to the organization of the final rule.
- Agencies should go beyond merely repeating the relevant statutory or regulatory text in the preamble.<sup>23</sup>
- 160 24. When providing guidance in preambles of final rules (see Principle 23), agencies should
  161 not use such guidance as a substitute for regulatory language and should avoid use of
  162 and a substitute for regulatory language and should avoid use of
- mandatory language except when the language describes a requirement or is addressed to
  agency employees consistent with Principle 3.<sup>24</sup>
- 164 25. Agencies should identify preambles to their final rules as sources of guidance on their 165 webpages devoted to guidance and should consider ways to integrate the guidance in

166 their preambles into other guidance documents.<sup>25</sup>

167 26. Agencies should periodically review individualized guidance documents to identify
 168 matters that may warrant the development of a general rule.<sup>26</sup>

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> Recommendations 2019-1 ¶ 1; 2017-5 ¶ 1; 92-2, ¶ I(A).
- <sup>2</sup> Recommendations 2019-1 ¶ 2; 2017-5 ¶ 2; 92-2, ¶ II(B).
- <sup>3</sup> Recommendations 2019-1 ¶¶ 3, 7; 2017-5 ¶¶ 3, 6; 92-2, ¶ III.
- <sup>4</sup> Recommendations 2019-1 ¶ 4; 2017-5 ¶ 4; 92-2, ¶ II(A).
- <sup>5</sup> Recommendations 2019-1 ¶ 5; 2017-5 ¶ 5.
- <sup>6</sup> Recommendations 2024-2, ¶ 3; 2019-1, ¶¶ 2, 11; 2017-5 ¶ 12.
- <sup>7</sup> Recommendations 2024-2, ¶ 1; 2022-5, ¶ 1; 2022-3 ¶ 1.
- <sup>8</sup> Recommendation 2017-3, ¶¶ 1, 8.
- <sup>9</sup> Recommendations 2024-2, ¶ 8; 2022-3, ¶ 5; 2019-3 ¶ 1.
- <sup>10</sup> Recommendation 2019-3 ¶ 1.
- <sup>11</sup> Recommendation 2019-3  $\P$  5.
- <sup>12</sup> Recommendation 2019-3 ¶¶ 2, 3.
- <sup>13</sup> Recommendation 2019-3 ¶ 4.
- <sup>14</sup> Recommendations 2019-1, ¶¶ 8–9; 2018-7, ¶¶ 6-8; 2017-5, ¶¶ 9–10; 92-2 ¶ III; 76-5, ¶ 2.

<sup>15</sup> Recommendations 2022-5, ¶ 11; 2019-1, ¶¶ 8–9; 2018-7, ¶¶ 6-8; 2017-5, ¶¶ 9–10; 92-2 ¶ III; 76-5, ¶ 2.

- <sup>16</sup> Recommendations 2019-1 ¶ 8; 2017-5, ¶ 9.
- <sup>17</sup> Recommendations 2024-2, ¶ 14; 2019-3, ¶ 7.
- <sup>18</sup> Recommendations 2024-2, ¶ 10; 2022-5, ¶ 9; 2019-3, ¶ 8(a)–(d).
- <sup>19</sup> Recommendations 2024-2, ¶ 13; 2019-3, ¶ 8(e).
- <sup>20</sup> Recommendation 2021-7, ¶¶ 2–4.
- <sup>21</sup> Recommendations 2021-7, ¶ 6; 2019-3, ¶ 11.
- <sup>22</sup> Recommendation 2019-3, ¶ 12.
- <sup>23</sup> Recommendation 2014-3, ¶¶ 1–2.
- <sup>24</sup> Recommendation 2014-3,  $\P$  4.
- <sup>25</sup> Recommendation 2014-3, ¶¶ 5.
- $^{26}$  Recommendation 2024-2,  $\P$  15.

### APPENDIX

- 71-3, Articulation of Agency Policies
- 76-2, Strengthening the Information and Notice-Giving Functions of the Federal Register
- 76-5, Interpretive Rules of General Applicability and Statements of General Policy
- 92-2, Agency Policy Statements
- 2014-3, Guidance in the Rulemaking Process
- 2017-3, Plain Language in Regulatory Drafting
- 2017-5, Agency Guidance Through Policy Statements
- 2018-7, Public Engagement in Rulemaking
- 2019-1, Agency Guidance Through Interpretive Rules
- 2019-3, Public Availability of Agency Guidance Documents
- 2021-7, Public Availability of Inoperative Agency Guidance Documents
- 2022-3, Automated Legal Guidance at Federal Agencies
- 2022-5, Regulatory Enforcement Manuals
- 2024-2, Individualized Guidance